UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,684	08/25/2003	Thomas J. Kelly	08350.3304-02	9971
58982 7590 03/31/2008 CATERPILLAR/FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, L.L.P. 901 New York Avenue, NW			EXAMINER	
			SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/646,684	KELLY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI	2154				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/2</u>	0/2007					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	, <del>-</del>						
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
· · _							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian requirement					
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\square$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/20/2007,08/29/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-34 are presented for examination.

## Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d) (1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claim 33 and 34 recites computer-readable medium.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- 4. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rakib et. al. (6,970,127) (hereinafter Rakib).
- 5. As per claim 1, Rakib discloses a system for managing communications between one or more on-board modules connected to one or more on-board data links and one or more off-board systems connected to one or more off-board data links, the system comprising:

a first on-board module connected to a first on-board data link (10, 21, fig 1, col 4, lines 41-51), wherein the on-board module and first on-board data link are located in a work machine (28, fig 1, col 4, lines 41-64; col 5, lines 19-31);

a first off-board system connected to a first off-board data link (14fig 1, col 4, lines 41-64; col 5, lines 19-31), wherein the first off-board system is remotely located from the work machine (15, fig 1, col 4, lines 41-64; col 5, lines 19-31); and

a gateway embedded in the work machine including (10, fig 1, col 6, line 40):

first and second interface (28, 14, fig 1) means connecting the onboard data links and off-board data links respectively to the gateway (28, 10, fig 1, col 6, lines 61-65),

a server application configured to perform (15, fig 1), when executed by a processor a server process (74 fig 4) based on a server request provided by at least one of the first on-board module (10, fig 4, col 10, lines 16-38) and the first off-board system (10, fig 4, col 10, lines 16-38), and

a communications application configured to convert a data message (gateway, 10, fig 1) from a first format to a second format based on a type of data link used by the gateway to transmit the data message (gateway, 10, fig 4, col 8, lines 1-11; col 10, lines 16-38),

wherein the gateway selectively executes the server and communication applications based on a type of request received by the gateway from one of the first on-board module and first off-board system (10 fig 4, col 8, lines 1-11; col 10, lines 16-38, services at headend).

6. As per claim 2, Rakib discloses the gateway includes a Web server application configured to perform (90, fig 2), when executed by the processor, a Web server process (90, fig 2) that provides access to a Web page maintained in the work machine to the first off-board system (90, fig 2, col 31, lines 8-24), and wherein the gateway selectively executes the Web server application based on a type of request received by the gateway from one of the first on-board module and first off-board system (90, fig 2, col

31, lines 8-24).

- 7. As per claim 3, Rakib discloses the first interface means includes a plurality of on-board data link ports each connected to respective ones of the on-board data links including the first on-board data link (col 4, lines 54-67).
- 8. As per claim 4, Rakib discloses the second interface means includes a plurality of off-board data link ports each connected to respective ones of the off-board data links including the first off-board data link (col 4, lines 54-67).
- 9. As per claim 5, Rakib discloses the first off-board data link is one of an Ethernet data link, an SAE standard serial data link, a wireless radio data link, and a wireless satellite data link (col 4, lines 54-67; col 8, lines 1-11).
- 10. As per claim 6, Rakib discloses the first on-board data link is one of a proprietary data link and an SAE standard serial data link (col 4, lines 54-67; col 8, lines 1-11).
- 11. As per claim 7, Rakib discloses the server application leverages the communication application to convert the request from the first format to a

second format based on a type of data link used to transmit the request to a destination device (col 8, lines 1-11).

- 12. As per claim 8, Rakib discloses the destination device is at one of the first on-board module and the first off-board system (10, fig 1, col 8, lines 1-11).
- 13. As per claim 9, Rakib discloses the Web page includes content reflecting information associated with the work machine (90, fig 2, col 31, lines 8-24).
- 14. As per claim 10, Rakib discloses the request is a Web server request received from the first off-board system and includes a query for operations data associated with the work machine (90, fig 2, col 31, lines 8-24).
- 15. As per claim 11, Rakib discloses the operations data is at least one of parameter identifier information, configuration data associated with the gateway, and status information associated with an operation of the work machine (col 12, lines 1-5).

16. As per claim 12, Rakib discloses the request is a server request including one of: a request to push information to one of the on-board modules (col 12, lines 1-5);

a request to push information to the gateway; a request to retrieve information maintained in one of the gateway or one of the on-board modules (col 12, lines 1-5);

a request to perform a configuration process associated with the work machine (col 11, lines 10-45); and a request to send information, maintained by one of the gateway and one of the on-board modules, to at least one of an off-board system and a another one of the on-board modules (col 11, lines 10-45).

- 17. As per claim 13, Rakib discloses the work machine moves between, or within, a work environment and the request is generated by a second work machine including a second gateway that communicates with the gateway over one of the off-board data links (col 11, lines 10-45, col 12, lines 1-5).
- 18. As per claim 14, Rakib discloses the gateway is software embedded in an on-board module that controls one or more components of the work machine (col 11, lines 10-45, col 12, lines 1-35. downloading guide).

- 19. As per claims 15-26, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-14, above.
- 20. As per claim 27, claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition Rakib discloses method for managing communications in an environment including a work machine having at least one data link connected to at least one module and a gateway and having at least one data link connected to at least one system and the gateway, wherein the gateway maintains a Web page serviced by a Web server application (fig 8, col 32, lines 1-16), the method performed by the gateway comprising: receiving a request generated by a first of the off-board systems and transmitted on a first data link (NIC interface of the gateway, fig 8, ); selectively executing the Web server application based on the request, wherein the Web server application generates content for the Web page based on the request and packages the content into a response message (fig. 8, col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20); configuring the response message to a format compatible with the first of the off-board systems; and providing the formatted request to the first of the off-board systems over the first data link message (fig 8, col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20), wherein the Web page content includes information associated with the operation of the work machine and is updatable with information received from at least one of the

on-board modules and a second of the off-board systems (fig 8, col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20).

- 21. As per claim 28, Rakib discloses the first off-board system executes a Web browser that generates the request and displays the content on a display device (fig 8, col 31, lines 8-24).
- 22. As per claim 29, Rakib discloses receiving a request to update the content in the Web page (fig 8, col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20; col 31, lines 8-24); extracting information from the request; updating the content of the Web page using the extracted information; and allowing subsequent responses to subsequent requests for the Web page to include the updated content (fig 8, col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20; col 31, lines 8-24).
- 23. As per claim 30, Rakib discloses the request to update the content is received from either one of the at least one on-board module and the at least one off-board system (fig 8, col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20; col 31, lines 8-24).
- 24. As per claim 31, Rakib discloses the content includes at least one of work machine parameter identifier information, configuration data

associated with the gateway, and status information associated with an operation of the work machine (fig 8, col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20; col 31, lines 8-24).

- 25. As per claim 32, Rakib discloses the content includes work machine parameter identification information and the request includes a query for retrieving the parameter identification information (fig 8, col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20; col 31, lines 8-24).
- 26. As per claim 33, claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 27, above. In addition Rakib discloses identifying a destination device associated with the request (gateway, fig 8, col 8, lines 1-11; col 10, lines 16-38; col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20; col 31, lines 8-24); configuring the request to a format compatible with the destination device (gateway, fig 8, col 8, lines 1-11; col 10, lines 16-38; col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20; col 31, lines 8-24); providing the formatted request to the destination device; receiving a response to the formatted request from the destination device; configuring the response to a format compatible with the first data link (gateway, fig 8, col 8, lines 1-11; col 10, lines 16-38; col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20; col 31, lines 8-24); and sending the response to a target device over the first data link, wherein the first data link is either one of the on-board data links

and one of the off-board data links (gateway, fig 8, col 8, lines 1-11; col 10, lines 16-38; col 23, lines 63 to col 24 line 20; col 31, lines 8-24).

27. As per claim 34, claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 27, above.

# Response to Arguments

- 28. Applicant's arguments filed 12/20/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, therefore rejections to claims 1-34 is maintained.
- 29. In response to applicant's argument that "Rakib fails to disclose or suggest at least a system including a work machine", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. A recitation directed to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be used does not distinguish the claimed apparatus from the prior art- if the prior art has the capability to so perform.

30. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d) (1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claims 33 and 34 recites "when executed by processor", the conditional when interpreted as a computer program is on shelf waiting to be executed. Since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the computer program itself is not a process and Office personnel should treat a claim for a computer program, without the computer-readable medium needed to realize the computer program's functionality, as nonstatutory functional descriptive material. Objection is maintained.

## 31. In the remarks applicants argued that:

**Argument:** Rakib does not disclose system including work machine as recited in claim 1.

**Response:** Rakib discloses the on-board module and first on-board data link are located in a work machine (CPU, fig 1, col 5, lines 19-31), a first off-board system connected to a first off-board data link (14 fig 1, col 4, lines 41-64; col 5, lines 19-31), wherein the first off-board system is remotely located from the work machine (15, fig 1, col 4, lines 41-64; col 5, lines 19-31); and a gateway embedded in the work machine including (10,

fig 1, col 6, line 40): first and second interface (28,14, fig 1) means connecting the on-board data links and off-board data links respectively to the gateway (28, 10, fig 1, col 6, lines 61-65), a server application configured to perform (15, fig 1), when executed by a processor a server process (74 fig 4) based on a server request provided by at least one of the first on-board module (10, fig 4, col 10, lines 16-38) and the first off-board system (10, fig 4, col 10, lines 16-38), and a communications application configured to convert a data message (gateway, 10, fig 1) from a first format to a second format based on a type of data link used by the gateway to transmit the data message (gateway, 10, fig 4, col 8, lines 1-11; col 10, lines 16-38), wherein the gateway selectively executes the server and communication applications based on a type of request received by the gateway from one of the first on-board module and first off-board system (10 fig 4, col 8, lines 1-11; col 10, lines 16-38, services at headend).

#### Conclusion

- 32. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
  - U.S. Patent 6,529,159
  - U.S. Patent 6,647,328

U.S. Patent 6,484,082

U.S. Patent 6,479,792

33. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI whose telephone number is (571)272-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MAS

/Nathan J. Flynn/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2154